



En En women




16 Novembre - 20 Novembre

Sondage post séminaire


## Êtes-vous satisfait de cet événement ?

12 réponses




## Les contenus étaient-ils pertinents et utiles ?

12 réponses



Dans quelle mesure êtes-vous satisfait de la logistique ?




1 = Très insatisfait 5 = Très satisfait

# Remarques


- Most of the participants did not speak English, and communication was very complicated. The AJM had to make a big effort to interpret and translate every presentation, which resulted in a waste of time for all the participants, and affected the dynamics of the encounter.
- Tout s'est bien passé. J'aurais aimé que le programme soit plus court. Il y avait trop d'heures et, à un moment donné, nous n'arrivions plus à nous concentrer.
- First a cold dinner that required a dress code when the luggage was ready. A ridiculously expensive and formal dinner the second night that didn't even allow us to communicate with each other. No free time after meetings. In Jean Monnet's house, 8 km from a pharmacy or a bakery, isolated, without a toaster or a microwave, cold bread from the previous day for breakfast, frozen sandwiches for lunch, and all with the excuse of being far from civilization. What were we doing there then? Being so far away also makes transportation to the airport more expensive.
- The accommodation in Jean Monnet's house is incomprehensible, far away, isolated without the possibility of leaving the site and with no restaurant facilities. We were a group of professional experts on gender violence from different countries in different fields and not a group of school children.

## Selon vous, quelles étaient les sessions les plus pertinentes ?



# Dans quelle mesure êtes-vous satisfait du contenu des sessions ?

12 réponses



# Remarques

- A l'avenir, le programme devrait être plus court, avec des pauses plus fréquentes et des sessions de formation en rapport avec le thème du projet.
- too long sessions.
- Not enough breaks. The sessions were too long.
- The organization has not taken into account the level of the participating women. We have been treated like schoolgirls. Obvious things have been talked about. We had a long menspaining session in which they dared to mix their personal opinions at the time of the translations, distorting the message of the colleagues. We dedicated several hours to Jean Monnet: in the pantheon, in the visit to the house, and almost an hour and a half of chatting with his director. Excessive. We traveled from far away and couldn't work with each other. Most of the presentations were online, so it was not necessary to travel to Paris. Almost no talk was related to the topic. The talk from the representative (or salesperson) of the Rotary Club was not necessary. We were talking about the situation of women after covid, but a person came to talk about the moral violence that men suffer. Good, but it wasn't the topic of our meeting. Alberto used more than 20 minutes to tell us that we only had 5 minutes to talk about our good practices. For this it is not necessary to spend European money, meetings can be held online. By the way, the only interesting thing about the meeting. The tree's activity not only did not have the appropriate level, but no conclusion was reached. It seems that the only important thing were the photos of each step we took. It's all been very disappointing.
- The contents and methodology were of a very low level in general, and seemed to be aimed at raising awareness among groups with no knowledge of the subject, without taking into account the high professional level of the participants who were experts in gender-based violence. Most of the interventions were online, while the countries made the effort to travel so many kilometres, and it is essential that the speakers are also present if the exchange between experts is to be viable.